

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 10138 of 1996

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgements?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not?
3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy of the judgement?
4. Whether this case involves a substantial question of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge?
1 to 5 No

ISWARBHAI R RABARI

Versus

SOCIAL WELFARE OFFICER

Appearance:

MR BG JANI for Petitioner

Mr. Uday Bhatt, learned A.G.P. for the respondent

No.1.

Mr. Yogesh Lakhani for respondent No.2.

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE M.R.CALLA

Date of decision: 18/12/96

ORAL JUDGMENT :

Rule. Mr. Bhatt and Mr.Lakhani waive service of rule on behalf of respondents nos.1 and 2 respectively. With the consent of parties, the matter is taken up for final hearing today.

Mr.Bhatt for the respondent No.1 submits that the

petitioner's name had been sent to the Divisional Controller of Gujarat State Road Transport Corporation pursuant to the application dated 1.11.96 and it was by mistake that his name had not been included earlier in the list of 270 candidates. Mr. Lakhani appearing for the respondent No.2 has submitted that they required only 270 candidates for interview. If by mistake the petitioner's name was left out earlier, it is reasonable that the mistake has to be rectified. The respondents may, therefore, also consider petitioner's candidature in accordance with Rules as if his name had already been included in the list of 270 candidates eligible for the post of Conductor and the petitioner may be given the necessary forms and he may be required to undergo all formalities.

Special Civil Application is accordingly allowed.
Rule is made absolute with no order as to costs. Direct service is permitted.